arXiv:quant-ph/0211132v1 20 Nov 2002

Mapping of non-central potentials under point canonical transformations

M. Kocak, I. Zorba, B. Gönül
Department of Engineering Physics, Faculty of Engineering,
University of Gaziantep, 27310 Gaziantep, Türkiye

February 1, 2008

Abstract

Motivated by the observation that all known exactly solvable shape invariant central potentials are inter-related via point canonical transformations, we develop an algebraic framework to show that a similar mapping procedure is also exist between a class of non-central potentials. As an illustrative example, we discuss the inter-relation between the generalized Coulomb and oscillator systems.

Pacs Numbers: 03.65.Fd

Keywords: Shape invariance, non-central potential, point canonical transformation.

1 Introduction

In recent years, there has been considerable interest in studying exactly solvable quantum mechanical problems using algebraic approaches [1]. In this respect, supersymmetric quantum mechanics (SUSYQM) [2] has been found to be an elegant and useful prescription for obtaining closed analytic expressions both for the energy eigenvalues and eigenfunctions for a large class of one-dimensional (or spherically symmetric three-dimensional) problems. An interesting feature of SUSYQM is that for a shape invariant system [2, 3] the entire spectrum can be determined algebraically without ever referring to underlying differential equations.

As has been shown recently [4, 5], the idea of supersymmetry and shape invariance can also be used to obtain exact solutions of a wide class of non-central but separable potentials in algebraic fashion. In these works, it emerges that the angular part, as well as the radial part, of the Laplacian of the Schrödinger

equation can indeed be dealt with using the idea of shape invariance, hence the radial and the angular pieces of the Schrödinger equation can both be treated within the same framework.

It is well known that the Natanzon potentials [6] are exactly solvable in non-relativistic quantum mechanics. These potentials are known to group into two disjoint classes depending on whether the Schrödinger equation can be reduced to either a hypergeometric or a confluent hypergeometric equation. It has been shown that [7, 8, 9] the members within each class can be mapped into each other by point canonical transformations (PCT); however members of these two different classes cannot be connected by PCT. Nevertheless, it is reasonable to expect that the potentials of the above mentioned two classes can also be connected by a similar procedure since a hypergeometric differential equation reduces to a confluent hypergeometric one under appropriate limits. Gangopadhyaya and his co-workers have shown [10] that this is indeed the case by establishing a connection between the two classes with appropriate limiting procedures and redefinition of parameters, thereby inter-relating all known solvable central potentials.

At this stage, it is quite natural to ask whether it is also possible to interconnect non-central potentials among themselves via canonical transformations of coordinates. To the best of our knowledge, the answer of this question or the feasibility of application of PCT to non-central potentials for mapping purposes has not been discussed earlier in the literature. In this respect such an attempt will be interesting. Through the present article we will show that the problem posed is algebraically solvable and mappings are possible between non-central but separable potentials so long as the separated problems for each of the coordinates belong to the class of shape invariant potentials (SIP).

The whole development is very elegant, appealing, and yet rather simple, so that any student of quantum mechanics should be able to understand and appreciate it. Indeed, we strongly feel that the material presented here can be profitably included in future quantum mechanics courses and textbooks. Accordingly, we have kept this article at a pedagogical level and made it as self-contained as possible. In the following section, we review briefly PCT in non-relativistic quantum mechanics. Section 3 explains how the results for the known SIP may be used to inter-relate two super-integrable systems: the generalized Coulomb and oscillator systems. Some concluding remarks are given in the last section. Throughout the present work the natural units $\hbar = 2m = 1$ are used.

2 Operator transformation

We consider a time-independent Schrödinger equation with a shape invariant potential $V(\alpha_i; x)$ that may depend upon several parameters α_i

$$\left[-\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + V(\alpha_i; x) - E(\alpha_i)\right] \psi(\alpha_i; x) = 0. \tag{1}$$

Under a point canonical transformation, which replaces the independent variable x by $z(x = f(z))$ and transforms the wavefunction $[\psi(\alpha_i; x) = \nu(z)\tilde{\psi}(\alpha_i; x)]$, the Schrödinger equation transforms into:

$$\left[-\frac{d^2}{dz^2} + \tilde{V}(\tilde{\alpha_i}; z) - E(\tilde{\alpha_i})\right] \tilde{\psi}(\tilde{\alpha_i}; z) =$$

$$-\frac{d^2\tilde{\psi}}{dz^2} - \left\{\frac{2\nu'}{\nu} - \frac{f''}{f'}\right\} \frac{d\tilde{\psi}}{dz} + \left\{f'^2 \left[V(\alpha_i; f(z)) - E(\alpha_i)\right] + \left(\frac{f''\nu'}{f'\nu} - \frac{\nu''}{\nu}\right)\right\} \tilde{\psi} = 0, \quad (2)$$

in which $\tilde{\alpha_i}$ represents sets of parameters of the transformed potentials, and the prime denotes differantion with respect to the variable z . To remove the first derivative term in (2) for the purpose of having a Schrödinger like equation, one requires $\nu(z) = c\sqrt{f'(z)}$ where c is a constant of integration. This then leads to another Schrödinger equation with a new potential,

$$\left[-\frac{d^2}{dz^2} + U(\alpha_i; z)\right] \tilde{\psi}(\tilde{\alpha_i}; z) = 0, \quad (3)$$

where

$$U(\alpha_i; z) = f'^2[V(\alpha_i; f(z)) - E(\alpha_i)] + \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{3f''^2}{2f'^2} - \frac{f'''}{f'}\right) = \tilde{V}(\tilde{\alpha_i}; z) - \tilde{E}(\tilde{\alpha_i}). \quad (4)$$

In general, this is not an eigenvalue equation, unless $\{f'^2[V(\alpha_i; f(z)) - E(\alpha_i)]\}$ has a term independent of z, which will act like the energy term for the new Hamiltonian. This condition constraints allowable choices for the function $f(z)$. For a general potential $V(z; f(z))$, many choices for $f(z)$ are still possible that would give rise to Schrödinger type eigenvalue equations, and thus, if we have one solvable model, we can generate many others from it.

More precisely, the transformation function $f(z)$ has to be chosen such that the functional form of $U(\alpha_i; z)$ as given by (4) is identical to that of the well known exactly solvable SIP. This is indeed the case if

$$f'^2[V(\alpha_i; f(z)) - E(\alpha_i)] = V_{SIP}(\alpha_i; z) - \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{3f''^2}{2f'^2} - \frac{f'''}{f'}\right), \quad (5)$$

where $V_{SIP}(\alpha_i; z)$is a member of the shape invariant potential family. Ref. [8] contains a list of functions $f(z)$, hence one can easily find the sequence of transformations necessary to map any shape invariant potential of a given class to another one belonging to the same class.

We note that PCT have been studied in the path integral approach to quantum mechanical problems [11]. However, in path integral calculations, the mathematical maneuvering of steps becomes so complicated due to the combined transformations of space and time variables that the mapping of all SIP has not yet

been done. In this respect, the operator transformation introduced by Ref. [8], and reviewed above, is a much simpler approach consists of mapping through canonical transformations of coordinates which inter-relate the Hilbert spaces of various SIP.

3 Inter-relations of solvable non-central potentials

Non-central potentials are normally not discussed in most text books on quantum mechanics. This is presumably because most of them are not analytically solvable. However, it is worth noting that there is a class of non-central potentials in three-dimensions for which the Schrödinger equation separable. This section deals with the link between two systems, so-called super-integrable systems, involving a generalized form of such potentials: a system known in quantum chemistry as the Hartmann system and a system of potential use in quantum chemistry and nuclear physics. Both systems correspond to ring-shaped potentials. They admit two maximally super integrable systems as the limiting cases: the Coulomb-Kepler system and the isotropic harmonic oscillator system in three-dimensions. Three-dimensional potentials that are singular along curves have received a great deal of attention in recent years. In particular, the Coulombic ring-shaped potential [12] reviewed in quantum chemistry by Hartmann and co-workers [13], and the oscillatory ring-shaped potential [14], systematically studied by Quesne [15], have been investigated from a quantum mechanical viewpoint by using various approaches. As ring-shaped systems they may play an important role in all situations where axial symmetry is relevant. For example, the Coulomb-Kepler system is of interest for ring-shaped molecules like cyclic polyenes [13]. Further, the harmonic oscillator system is of potential use in the study of (super-) deformed nuclei.

3.1 The generalized Coulomb system

For the sake of clarity, and to demonstrate the simplicity of the present approach, we first review the algebraic framework to solve exactly the generalized Coulomb system studied in our recent work [5]. This will make clear that how the results for the known SIP may be used to algebraically obtain in a closed form the eigenvalues for a non-central but separable potential. In addition, as the initial potential to be mapped is the generalized Coulomb system here, this review would also be very useful in understanding further the mapping of the generalized Coulomb system to the oscillatory system having a non-central potential discussed in the next section.

The Coulombic ring-shaped, or Hartmann, potential (energy) is

$$V = -Z\frac{1}{\sqrt{x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2}} + \frac{1}{2}Q\frac{1}{x_1^2 + x_2^2} \qquad Z > 0 \qquad Q > 0, \tag{6}$$

where $Z = \eta\sigma^2$ and $Q = q\eta^2\sigma^2$ in the notation of Kibler and Negadi [12] and of Hartmann [13]. Such an $O(2)$ invariant potential reduces to an attractive Coulomb potential in the limiting case $Q = 0$ and this will prove useful for checking purposes. Clearly, Eq. (6) is a special case of the potential (in spherical coordinates)

$$V_{GC}(r,\theta) = \frac{A}{r} + \frac{B}{r^2\sin^2\theta} + C\frac{\cos\theta}{r^2\sin^2\theta}, \tag{7}$$

introduced by Makarov et al. [16]. The importance of the potential in (7) lies on the fact that compound Coulomb plus Aharanov-Bohm potential [17] and Hartmann ring-shaped potential, originally proposed as model for the benzene molecule are mathematically linked to this potential. In fact the energy spectrum for these two potentials can be obtained directly [5] by considering these as a special case of the general non-central potential in (7) .

The Schrödinger equation in spherical polar coordinates for a particle in the presence of a potential $V_{GC}(r,\theta)$ can be reduced to the two ordinary differential equations,

$$\frac{d^2R}{dr^2} + \frac{2}{r}\frac{dR}{dr} + \left(E - \frac{A}{r} - \frac{l(l+1)}{r^2}\right)R = 0, \tag{8}$$

$$\frac{d^2P}{d\theta^2} + \cot\theta\frac{dP}{d\theta} + \left[l(l+1) - \frac{m^2}{\sin^2\theta} - \frac{(B + C\cos\theta)}{\sin^2\theta}\right]P = 0, \tag{9}$$

if the corresponding total wave function can be written as $\psi(r,\theta,\vartheta) = R(r)P(\theta)\exp^{im\vartheta}$. It is not difficult to see that Eq. (8) is the same we obtain in solving the problem of an electron in a Coulomb-like field. Bearing in mind the discussion given in the previous section and using the transformation $\theta \rightarrow z$ through a mapping function $\theta = f(z)$, one obtains

$$\frac{d^2P}{dz^2} + \left[-\frac{f''}{f'} + f'\cot f\right]\frac{dP}{dz} + f'^2\left[l(l+1) - \frac{m^2}{\sin^2 f} - \frac{(B + C\cos f)}{\sin^2 f}\right]P = 0, \tag{10}$$

which seems a Schrödinger-like equation if $\frac{f''}{f'} = f'\cot f$ that leads to

$$\theta \equiv \ f \ = 2\tan^{-1}(e^z), \quad \sin\theta = \frac{1}{\cosh z}, \quad \cos\theta = -\tanh z. \tag{11}$$

Eq. (9) now reads

$$\frac{d^2P}{dz^2} + \left[\frac{l(l+1)}{\cosh^2 z} + C\tanh z\right]P = (m^2 + B)P, \tag{12}$$

which can be rearranged as

$$-\frac{d^2P}{dz^2}+\left[\lambda^2\tanh^2 z - C\tanh z\right]P=\left[\lambda^2-(m^2+B)\right]P, \tag{13}$$

where $\lambda^2 = l(l+1)$. The full potential in (13) has the form of the Rosen-Morse-II potential which is well-known to be shape invariant. More specifically, the potential

$$V_{RM}(z)=a_0(a_0+1)\tanh^2 z+2b_0\tanh z \qquad (b_0<a_0^2), \tag{14}$$

has energy eigenvalues [2]

$$E_{RM}=a_0(a_0+1)-(a_0-n)^2-\frac{b_0^2}{(a_0-n)^2} \qquad n=0,1,2,... \tag{15}$$

For our case $E_{RM}=\lambda^2-(m^2+B)$ and using the corresponding constants $a_0=l$, $b_0=-\frac{C}{2}$ we obtain

$$l=n+\left[\frac{(m^2+B)+\sqrt{(m^2+B)^2-C^2}}{2}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}. \tag{16}$$

The energy eigenvalues obtained from (8) for the Coulomb potential ($A=-Ze^2$) are

$$E_C=\frac{-Z^2e^4}{4[N+l+1]^2} \qquad N=0,1,2,... \tag{17}$$

Therefore our final eigenvalues for a bound electron in a Coulomb potential as well a combination of a non-central potentials given by (9) are

$$E_{GC}=\frac{-Z^2e^4}{4\left\{N+n+1+\left[\frac{(m^2+B)+\sqrt{(m^2+B)^2-C^2}}{2}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}}\right\}^2}. \tag{18}$$

3.2 Mappings between the two distinct systems

Now, we are ready to illustrate the mapping procedure by starting off with the system described in (7). We show here the steps necessary to relate the generalized Coulomb system discussed above to a system having a generalized oscillatory potential, so called the generalized Aharonov-Bohm plus oscillator systems,

$$V_{GHO}(r,\theta)=\tilde{A}r^2+\frac{\tilde{B}}{(r\cos\theta)^2}+\frac{\tilde{C}}{(r\sin\theta)^2}, \tag{19}$$

where $\tilde{A},\tilde{B},\tilde{C}$ being strictly positive constants. The potential above is of the V_3 in the V_1-V_4 classification by Makarov and collaborators [16]. The limiting case

$\tilde{B}=0$, $\tilde{C}=0$ corresponds to an isotropic harmonic oscillator and will serve for testing results to be obtained. In case when $\tilde{B}=0$ we get the well known ring-shape oscillator potential which was investigated in many articles.

The strategy followed is to start the transformation with appropriate Schrödinger equations which must be exactly solvable having a shape invariant potential, explicitly these are Eqs. (8) and (13), and to see what happens to these equations under a point canonical transformation. In order for the Schrödinger equation to be mapped into another Schrödinger equation, there are severe restrictions on the nature of the coordinate transformation. Coordinate transformations which satisfy these restrictions give rise to new solvable problems. When the relationship between coordinates is implicit, then the new solutions are only implicitly determined, while if the relationship is explicit then the newly found solvable potentials are also shape invariant which will be the case in the present work.

As the first step, we proceed with the transformation of the well known system in (8) that involves the central portion of the non-central potential in (7). For convenience, we will call our initial coordinates r and our final coordinates z. Using the point canonical transformation $r \equiv f(z) = z^2$, one readily obtains from Eq. (4)

$$U_1 = -4Ze^2 + \frac{16l(l+1)+3}{4z^2} - (4E_C)z^2. \tag{20}$$

where E_C is the energy eigenvalue in (17) corresponding to the initial shape invariant Coulomb potential. As the angular momentum barrier term in (20) can algebraically be expressed in the form

$$\frac{16l(l+1)+3}{4z^2} = \frac{\tilde{l}(\tilde{l}+1)}{z^2}, \qquad \tilde{l} = 2l + \frac{1}{2}, \tag{21}$$

the consideration of Eq. (20) together with the right hand side of Eq. (4) yields

$$U_1 = \frac{w^2z^2}{4} + \frac{\tilde{l}(\tilde{l}+1)}{z^2} - 2w(N+l+1) = \tilde{V}_1 - \tilde{E}_1, \tag{22}$$

where the relation between the original and transformed potential parameters is $w = 2Ze^2/(N+l+1)$. It is obvious that the transformed new potential, which represents the first term in (19) where $\tilde{A} = w^2/4$, is the shape invariant isotropic harmonic oscillator potential

$$\tilde{V}_1 = \tilde{A}z^2 + \frac{\tilde{l}(\tilde{l}+1)}{z^2}, \tag{23}$$

and the corresponding energy eigenvalues are

$$\tilde{E}_1 = w\left(2N + \tilde{l} + \frac{3}{2}\right). \tag{24}$$

The next step is to transform the system in (13) which is identical to (9) involving the angular dependent potentials in (7). This mapping will enable us

to see clearly the final form of the transformed (new) non-central potential, and to derive an explicit expression (like Eq. (16) for $\tilde{l}$ appeared in (24) in terms of the transformed potential parameters of the non-central portion.

Following a similar algebraic treatment as above, and using a proper transformation function

$$z \equiv \ f\ (\tilde{z}) = \tanh^{-1}(\cosh 2\tilde{z}), \tag{25}$$

where z and $\tilde{z}$ denote the initial and final coordinates respectively, one can readily transform the radial Schrödinger equation in (13) and obtain from Eqs. (25) and (4)

$$U_2 = (2l+1)^2 - \frac{\left(m^2 + B + C - \frac{1}{4}\right)}{\cosh^2 \tilde{z}} + \frac{\left(m^2 + B - C - \frac{1}{4}\right)}{\sinh^2 \tilde{z}} = \tilde{V}_2 - \tilde{E}_2. \tag{26}$$

This encouraging result complies with Eq.(23) of Ref. [5] where generalized oscillator system Schrödinger equations have been solved using the usual procedure that applied to the generalized Coulomb system in section 3.1.

In the above equation, the full potential $\tilde{V}_2(\tilde{z})$ resembles the shape invariant Pöschl-Teller-II type potential

$$V_{PT}(\tilde{z}) = -\frac{\tilde{a}_0(\tilde{a}_0 + 1)}{\cosh^2 \tilde{z}} + \frac{\tilde{b}_0(\tilde{b}_0 - 1)}{\sinh^2 \tilde{z}}, \tag{27}$$

with the eigenenergies

$$E_{PT} = -(\tilde{a}_0 - \tilde{b}_0 - 2n)^2. \tag{28}$$

Comparing the similar terms in Eqs. (26-28), and bearing in mind the relation $\tilde{l} = 2l + 1/2$ from (21), one finds

$$\tilde{a}_0 = -\frac{1}{2} + \sqrt{m^2 + B + C}, \quad \tilde{b}_0 = \frac{1}{2} + \sqrt{m^2 + B - C},$$

$$\tilde{l} = 2n + \frac{1}{2} + \sqrt{m^2 + B - C} + \sqrt{m^2 + B + C}. \tag{29}$$

Note that to ensure the natural relation between l and $\tilde{l}$, positive roots are chosen for $\tilde{a}_0$ and $\tilde{b}_0$ values. This choice leads to involve only the odd solutions [5, 18], which means that the states corresponding to the potential in (7) are mapped onto the odd-integer states of the transformed potential.

Thus, Eq. (24) reads

$$\tilde{E}_{GHO} = w(2N + 2n + 2 + \sqrt{m^2 + B - C} + \sqrt{m^2 + B + C}), \quad N = 1, 3, 5, ... \tag{30}$$

which are the eigenvalues of the transformed non-central potential formed as in (19) where

$$\tilde{B} = m^2 + B - C - \frac{1}{4}, \quad \tilde{C} = B + C, \tag{31}$$

The final form of the transformed potential in Eq. (19) can easily be seen by the use of inverse transformation of the potential in (26) via the mapping functions in Eq.(11). Eq. (30), which agrees with Eq. (3) of Ref. [18] and Eq. (27) of Ref. [5]. Thus, we have in this section shown that under the canonical transformations energies and coupling constants of the non-central potentials of interest trade places and orbital angular momenta are re-scaled, which clarifies that there is really only one quantum mechanical problem, not two.

We finally remark that although here we have only focused on eigenvalues and spherical polar coordinates, generalization of the technique used to describe eigenfunctions of the present systems in analytical form (using the discussion in section 2 and the analytical forms of the unnormalized wave functions in Refs. [2, 8], and to other non-central potentials in any orthogonal curvilinear coordinate system is quite straightforward.

4 Concluding Remarks

In this article the Schrödinger equation with a class of non-central but separable potentials has been studied and we have shown that such potentials can be easily inter-related among themselves within the framework of point canonical coordinate transformations, as the corresponding eigenvalues may be written down in a closed form algebraically using the well known results for the shape invariant potentials. Although the literature covered similar problems, to our knowledge an investigation such as the one we have discussed in this paper was missing. It is quite obvious that similar mapping procedures can be followed starting from other types of shape invariant potentials which may lead to solve analytically other complicated systems involving different kind of non-central potentials. With the above considerations the authors hope to stimulate further examples of applications of the present method in important problems of physics.

References

[1] Chaturvedi S, Dutt R, Gangopadhyaya A, Panigrahi P, Rasinariu C , Sukhatme U 1998 *Phys. Lett.* **A 248** 109, and the references therein.

[2] Cooper F, Khare A, Sukhatme U 1995 *Phys. Rep.* **251** 268.

[3] Infeld L, Hull T E 1951 *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **23** 21; Gendenshtein L E 1983 *JETP Lett.* **38** 356; Gendenshtein L E, Krive I V 1985 *Sov. Phys.* Usp. **28** 645.

[4] Khare A, Bhaduri R K *hep-th/9310104*; Dutt R, Gangopadhyaya A, Sukhatme U P 1997 *Am. J. Phys.* **65** 400.

[5] Gonul B, Zorba I 2000 *Phys. Lett.* **A 269** 83.

[6] Natanzon G A 1979 *Teor. Mat. Fiz.* **38** 219.

[7] Cooper F, Ginocchio J N, Wipf A 1989 *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **22** 3707.

[8] De R, Dutt R, Sukhatme U 1992 *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **25** L843.

[9] Levai G May 17-19 1993 " Solvable Potentials Derived ¿From Supersymmetric Quantum Mechanics" Talk presented at International Symposium on Quantum Inversion Theory and Applications, Bad Honnef, Germany.

[10] Gangopadhyaya A, Panigrahi P K, Sukhatme U P 1994 *Helv. Phys. Acta* **67** 363.

[11] Pak N K, Sokmen I 1984 *Phys. Lett.* **A 103** 298; Khandekar D C, Lawande S V 1986 *Phys. Rep.* **137** 115; Pak N K, Sokmen I 1984 *Phys. Rev.* **A 30** 1629; Inomata A 1982 *Phys. Lett.* **A 87** 387.

[12] Kibler M, Negadi T 1984 *Int. J. Quantum Chem.* **26** 405; Skmen I 1986 *Phys. Lett.* **A 118** 249; Kibler M, Winternitz P 1987 *J. Phys.* A: Math. Gen. **20** 4097; Lutsenko I V et. al. 1990 Teor. Mat. Fiz. **83** 419.

[13] Hartmann H et. al. 1972 *Theor. Chim. Acta* **24** 201; Hartmann H, Schuch D 1980 *Int. J. Quantum Chem.* **18** 125.

[14] Carpido-Bernido M V, Bernido C C 1989 *Phys. Lett.* **A** 134 315; Carpido-Bernido M V 1991 *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **24** 3013; Gal'bert O F, Granovskii Y I, Zhedanov A S 1991 *Phys. Lett.***A 153** 177.

[15] Quesne C 1988 *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **21** 3093.

[16] Makarov A A et al. 1967 *Nuovo Cimento* **A 52** 1061.

[17] Aharonov Y, Bohm D 1959 *Phys. Rev.* **115** 485.

[18] Kibler M, Campiogotta C 1993 *Phys. Lett.* **A** 181 1.